(1) (3)

C.S. 1001 —

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1939 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Malti Bai , W/o Shri Ram Singh Thakur aged about 58 years , occupation- house wife C/o Shri Lal Thakur Badhiya Tola , Ward No.7 Infront of Nagar Palika Water Tank, Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon (CG).

P.R. No. 19976 Presented by Sh. Lakesh Bhatnagar dated 12/9/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Secretary Department of School Education, D.K.S. Building Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 12/9/06 CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Accountant General (A & E) II, M.P. Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh.

3. Accountant General (A&E) Chhattisgarh , Raipur (CG).

4. Block Education Officer, Block-Dondi, District Durg (CG).

WRIT PETITION U/S 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) No. 1979/06 सन् 200

.....विरुद्ध.....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24-4-2006:-

Mr. Rakesh Anthony, counsel for the petitioner.

Miss Dipali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 and 4.

Mr. Ashutosh Kachchwaha, counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.

Heard.

The petitioner is the wife of late Shri Ram Singh Thakur who was initially appointed as Assistant Teacher in the year 1963 and later on, he was promoted on the post of Head Master. He served the Education Department till attaining the age of superannuation on 31st March 1995.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that an amount of Rs.39,000/- only was paid to late Shri Ram Singh Thakur against G.P.F. amount of Rs.64,569/- against the account No.MD/NMP-32367.

The above grievance of the petitioner appears to be correct as the office of the Deputy Accountant General, Raipur wrote letters to the office of Accountant General, Gwalior for sending the account on 18-11-2004 & 13-1-2005. It appears that nothing has been heard so far from the Accountant General Office, Gwalior regarding the remaining payment of the G.P.F. amount.

The second grievance of the petitioner is that her husband was on deputation, a new account No.MD/NMP-18453 was opened and against that amount, not a single penny has been paid so far.

It is a matter of concern that the concerned authorities accepts writing of the letters, but they are not attending grievances of the petitioner. In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to send a detailed representation to the A.G. Office, i.e. respondent No.3 within a period of 2 weeks and respondent No.3, in turn, will ensure that G.P.F. dues of husband of the petitioner are settled from the date of receipt of

[पीठ देखिये]

XI-HC-22

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	representation, within another period of 2 months, after getting it verified from the record against both the Accounts Nos.MD/NMP-18453 and MD/NMP-32367. It is made clear that the payment has not been made on account of lapse of the respondents, therefore, the petitioner shall be entitled for the interest on the outstanding amount as per the admissible rate of interest i.e. 9% against the outstanding amount till the date of payment. If the payment of the admissible amount is not made to the petitioner, then the petitioner will be at liberty to file fresh writ petition. The cost of this petition is assessed as Rs.2,000/- which respondent No.3 will pay. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

[पीछे देखिये